**BACHELOR THESIS EVALUATION FORM - REVIEWER**

**for the bachelor study**

Study program: **Information and Communication Technologies**

Name of the student:

Name of the bachelor thesis:

Name of the opponent of the bachelor thesis:

Opinion on Originality Control Protocol (**percentage %**): I consider this bachelor thesis as **original** / **not original**

**Evaluation** of the bachelor thesis (points):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria:** | **Weight**  **(points):** | **Criteria description** | **Points** |
| 1. **Problem analysis** | 20 %  (20) | Are the information in the analysis carried out on the basis of a study of professional literature, factual, accurate and sufficiently exhaustive? Is the problem being analyzed based on the current state of knowledge in the area? |  |
| 1. **Solution proposal** | 30 %  (30) | What is the extent of the use of the knowledge gained in the study of the study program in the design of the work solution, or the knowledge gained from the study of further professional literature? Is a solution designed in accordance with known approaches and methods in the field? There were used known or original techniques when designing a solution? Do the given data match the fact regarding the output, if requested? |  |
| 1. **Scientific level** | 40 %  (40) | Is the chosen work solution (e.g, designed experiment or created software system) appropriate? Was the suggested solution really be verified? What is the level and functionality of the technical or program output of the project or what are the experimental results of the work (if requested in the input)? Are the goals set for the job? Do the actual characteristics of the output of work correspond to their description at work? What is the level of documenting the output in the technical documentation, is it consistent with the requirements of the input? |  |
| 1. **Formal level** | 10 %  (10) | Does the work contain all the required elements (envelope, title page, bond, ...)? Is it clear? Does it have a proper structure? What is the language level of the text, is the scope of the work adequate, is the adjustment of the document good? |  |
| **ASSIGNED POINTS (SUM):** | | |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Additional description to the criteria nr.: (**If necessary;** In case of lack of space, continue on the next page) |

|  |
| --- |
| Evaluation using letter scale  *(the corresponding option encircled)*  **A <92-100>, B <83-92), C <74-83), D <65-74), E <56-65), FX <0-56)**  **A**: excellent (outstanding performance), **B**: very good (above the average standard), **C**: good (average results), **D**: satisfactory (fair) **E**: meets the minimum criteria, **FX**: Fail (more work required) |

|  |
| --- |
| Remarks and questions of the reviewer (**If necessary;** In case of lack of space, continue on the next page) |

I **recommend** / **do not recommend** the thesis for the defense (the corresponding option encircled).

Day: ...................................................

reviewer’s signature