**BACHELOR THESIS EVALUATION FORM - SUPERVISOR**
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Name of the student:

Name of the bachelor thesis:

Name of the supervisor of the bachelor thesis:

Opinion on Originality Control Protocol (**percentage %**): I consider this bachelor thesis as **original** / **not original**

**Evaluation** of the bachelor thesis (points):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria:** | **Weight**  **(points):** | **Criteria description** | **Points** |
| 1. **Output after 1st semester** | 20 %  (20) | What was the quality of the result presented in the Bachelor Project 1 (the points obtained in the first semester)?  A: 20 points, B: 16 points, C: 12 points, D: 8 points, E: 4 points |  |
| 1. **Output after two semesters** | 50 %  (50) | What is the quality of the overall project result presented in the Bachelor Thesis? What character does the project have, what demanding work did it require? Did the student fill the project? Can the results be broader used - by what conditions, limitations? Is the solution achieved usable, to what extent? How challenging was the project? |  |
| 1. **Work on project** | 20 %  (20) | How did the student work while working on the project? Was he creative? What was the communication and professional level of ongoing consultation? Was the job completed in good time before uploading? Has the student consulted on the final content? How did an active student work in obtaining information resources? What is the overall level of his work with literature? |  |
| 1. **Formal level** | 10 %  (10) | Does the work contain all required elements (envelope, title page ...)? Is it clear? Does it have a proper structure? What is the language level of the text, the adequacy of the scope of work, is the adjustment of the document good? If requested to do so, did he prepare and submit the work or technical documentation, also in electronic form? |  |
| **ASSIGNED POINTS (SUM):** | | |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Additional description to the criteria nr.: (**If necessary;** In case of lack of space, continue on the next page) |

|  |
| --- |
| Evaluation using letter scale  *(the corresponding option encircled)*  **A <92-100>, B <83-92), C <74-83), D <65-74), E <56-65), FX <0-56)**  **A**: excellent (outstanding performance), **B**: very good (above the average standard), **C**: good (average results), **D**: satisfactory (fair) **E**: meets the minimum criteria, **FX**: Fail (more work required) |

I **recommend** / **do not recommend** the thesis for the defense (the corresponding option encircled).
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